UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED	STATES	OF	AMERICA
	V		

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Ernesto Cortes-Esquivel	Case Number:	<u>11-01652M-001</u>		
present and wa	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a divas represented by counsel. I conclude by a prepond the defendant pending trial in this case.	etention hearing derance of the e	g was held on February 3, 2011. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the		
I find by a prep	eponderance of the evidence that:	OTTAGE			
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.				
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.				
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.				
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a maximum of		years imprisonment.		
			and the second s		
The Co	Court incorporates by reference the material findings the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the re	of the Pretrial Secord.	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court		
	CONCLUSIO	ONS OF LAW			
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will fle	e.			
2.	No condition or combination of conditions will re-	asonably assure	e the appearance of the defendant as required.		
	DIRECTIONS REGA				
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United S	defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorne facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persodefendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity States or on request of an attorney for the Governm the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appropriate the content of th	ons awaiting or s y for private cons ent, the person	serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the		

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: February 3, 2011

United States Magistrate Judge